

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

BROOKE CARDOZA, et al.,  
 Plaintiff(s),

vs.

BLOOMIN' BRANDS, INC., et al.,  
 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:13-cv-01820-JAD-NJK  
 ORDER

On July 17, 2015, Plaintiffs filed a motion to extend, *inter alia*, the deadline for certification experts. Docket No. 320. In briefing that motion, however, Plaintiffs also expressed uncertainty as to whether they would retain a certification expert if the motion to extend was granted and stated that they were seeking the extension “out of an abundance of caution.” *See* Docket No. 329 at 2. On August 3, 2015, the Court ordered Plaintiffs to file a statement by August 17, 2015 indicating whether they intend to hire a certification expert such that a ruling is necessary on their motion to extend the deadline for such an expert. *See* Docket No. 331.

Now pending before the Court is Plaintiffs’ notice requesting a ruling because the “parties have not come to an agreement on the issue.” Docket No. 338.<sup>1</sup> The notice does not address the Court’s central concern, which was that it was not inclined to address the issue given that Plaintiffs were unsure if they would retain a certification expert in the event the motion to extend was granted. The Court

---

<sup>1</sup> The notice was filed on August 18, 2015, after the deadline set by the Court.

1 hereby ORDERS Plaintiffs to file a notice, no later than noon on August 19, 2015, indicating whether  
2 they will retain a certification expert in the event their motion to extend is granted.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: August 18, 2015

5   
6 \_\_\_\_\_  
7 NANCY J. KOPPE  
8 United States Magistrate Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28